SUBJECTS.

146. *Sale of timber at price paid by contractor.*

that Government might come to some arrangement with their timber contractor at Bombay whereby teak, blackwood, etc., could be bought by the people at the price paid by the contractor.

Mr. H. D. Deane (Member, Rubber Planters) in supporting the representation spoke of the great difficulty they had in getting teak timber for their own use.

Dewan's reply.—Government are aware of the hardships entailed by the present arrangement and would endeavour to provide facilities in the way of getting timber at reasonable prices.

Mr. P. Kesava Pillai (Member, Quilon Taluk) requested—

147. *Appointment of Honorary Magistrates.*

(1) That the Taluk Magistrates might be freed from revenue duties and Honorary Magistrates appointed who in conjunction with the paid Magistrates might constitute Benches for the trial of important cases :

148. *Consent of junior members for alienations of Tarwad property.*

(2) That the consent of junior members required by law to give validity to alienations of Tarwad property by the Karanavan should be a consent in writing and registered whenever the alienation is evidenced by a registered deed : and

149. *All adult members to be parties to suits for recovery of money from Tarwad property.*

(3) That in suits brought by creditors of the Karanavan for recovery of money from Tarwad property, all the adult members of the family should be included as parties.

Dewan's reply.—The points will be noted.

Mr. Sankaran Unnithan (Member, Kottarakara Taluk) made the following representations :—

150. *Issue of pattahs to tenants for kudijenmom lands.*

(1) He said that when jenmom lands in the occupation of Kanomdars were transferred by sale, the land ceased to be jenmom and became *Kudijenmom*. In such cases, the pattah should be granted to the Kanapattom tenant but the Settlement Department granted the pattah to the purchaser of the Jenmom right. He prayed that the pattah in such cases might be ordered to be issued to the Kanomdar.

151. *Change of tenure of jenmom land in certain cases.*

(2) He also said that, when a Jenmom land was in the enjoyment of a Kanapattom tenant, the pattah was granted in the name of the tenant under a different tenure on the ground of the tenant's allegation that he had not paid any rent or perquisite to the Jenmi. This change into a different tenure, he said, pressed hard on the tenants:

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly